1875-76
(L. R.)

File No : 2
Serial No. 1-4

Sub: Instructions regarding the Jodi of the village of Badaganakahalli, Kolar District

(18)

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1875-6.

191 .

(Land Revenue)

File No. 2

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

L. R. S. of 1876.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Part B for 8 July '76.

SUBJECT.

Instructions re the Jodi of the village of Badagamakankahalli, Kolar District.

Indexed:-

L. Sreenivasa Rao

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

READ—

Letter No. 1159, dated 4th August 1875, from the Inam Commissioner of Mysore.

No. 6449—R. 187, dated 5th November 1875 to do.

No. 14, dated 6th January 1876, from do.

No. 9125—REV. 238, DATED BANGALORE, 25TH FEBRUARY 1876.

ORDER THEREON.—With reference to the order contained in Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 3507—Rev. 104, dated 26th July 1875, the Inam Commissioner has urged that in the treatment of inams involving inam grants made to District hereditary officers such as Deshkulkarni, Deshpandya, &c. who have not performed service for upwards of 50 years, some definite instructions should be issued on the subject for the guidance of the Inam Settlement Department. Colonel Anderson has pointed out that in the settlement of several of such cases by Colonel Clerk, ½ quit-rent was imposed, while subsequently similar inams have been confirmed on ¼ quit-rent. This diversity of practice has, it is remarked, caused much dissatisfaction, and a definite ruling is needed to determine whether in such cases the quit-rent to be imposed shall be ½, ¼, or ⅛.

2. In compliance with the suggestion made to him, Colonel Anderson submits proposals for distinguishing the several classes of cases under three heads as follows:—

1*st.* Those whose condition of rendering service has been distinctly abrogated or done away with by Divan Púrnaiya or other competent authority.

2*nd.* Those whose inams were granted by Divan Púrnaiya on clearly stated conditions of service, but of whose performance of service at any time, since Púrnaiya's affirming the grant, or within fifty years, there is no record, but whose release from the condition of service has never been declared by competent authority, and whose condition of service, it may be assumed, was liable to be called into activity again.

3*rd.* Those who are proved to have rendered service within fifty years.

3. For the 1st class he would impose the lowest rate of ⅛th, for the 2nd class a medium rate of ¼th or ⅓rd, and for the 3rd class the maximum rate of ½.

4. The proposed 1st class is identical with VIII, Clause C, and Rule VI, Clause C, (quoted in the margin) under which the rate of quit-rent is restricted to ⅛.

Rule VIII, Clause C.

"Inams granted to Deshamuks, Deshpandya and such like officers, which are "no longer required and where a compromise has already been effected on the "abolition of the service, are to be regarded as subsistence grants to be disposed "of according to Rule VI and its clauses."

* * * * *

Clause C. of Rule VI.

"Option however will be given to the inamdar to render these grants per-"petual and alienable, by a payment of a quit-rent equal to ⅛ assessment of the "entire tenure, irrespective of his position with regard to heirs."

5. The cases under the proposed 2nd class are also provided for under Rule VI, Clause F, quoted in the margin, which prescribes a quit-rent of ¼th of the assessment. In regard to these, Colonel Anderson recommends a quit-rent of ¼ or ⅓rd. He prefers the latter rate on the ground that there is no wide distinction between this and the third class of cases, and that if the services attached to the former be required to be rendered, the second class would be actually on a par with the third class of cases.

Rule VI, Clause F.

"Indulgence will however be shewn in cases in which there is a strong pre-"sumption in favor of an inam being 50 years old, and where the probability is "equal on both sides, the intermediate rate of ¼ quit-rent will be charged as a "special case."

6. In the proposed 3rd class are comprised cases which are provided for by Rule VIII, Clause F, quoted in the margin.

Rule 8, Clause F.

"In cases in which service may be no longer required, or is of a nominal "nature, the inam will be confirmed to the holders as a permanent and alienable "property subject to payment of a quit-rent not exceeding half assessment."

7. It would thus seem that the definitions suggested by the Inam Commissioner are generally coincident with those contained in the rules, excepting with regard to the quit-rent to be imposed on the 2nd class of cases; but in these cases the Officiating Chief Commissioner does not think it necessary or advisable to alter the existing rules by the introduction of a new rate.

8. As regards inams already confirmed at the Inam settlement, the Officiating Chief Commissioner has already in para 4 of his Proceedings of 20th July last, directed that no case can be re-opened unless special grounds can be urged on its behalf, by the Inam Commissioner.

T. CLARKE,
Offg. Secretary.

To—The Survey and Inam Commissioner.
„ Superintendent, Inam Settlements.

1876 163

From,

The Survey & Settlement Commissioner

Mysore.

To,

The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner,

General Department.

Dated Yedchullee 29th March

Despatched

Received 5th April

ENCLOSURES No.

General No. 4655
No.

Forwards Report called for by Ch. Comr's order No. 5112 of 13th October last on petition of Poodeedar of Badaganakunhalley of the Hobli Talooka

C.C. Vide Despatch Note in Continuation Memo on the Subject - 1/8/49

The Despatch may graciously be submitted

Drafted 21/5/76

No. 465 of 1876.

From,

Colonel W. C. ANDERSON,

Survey and Settlement Commissioner Mysore.

To,

THE SECRETARY TO THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER,

for the Government of the Territories of His Highness

the Maharajah of Mysore.

Survey Commissioner's Office
Mysore Territory camp
Yedehullee 29th March 1876

SIR,

x not in the file

I have the honor to forward the report required by Chief Commissioner's orders No. 5681/1184 of the 13th October last which report was in abeyance pending receipt of orders in the class of cases which were finally disposed of by Chief Commissioners No. 9125/238 of the 25th ultimo.

In the case under reference there are two points on which the Petitioner urges his

grievances :—

1st That he being the holder of Desh Koolkurnee Enam, on which no service has been rendered for more than 50 years, has had ½ quit rent imposed while other Enams similarly circumstances have since had only ¼ Quit Rent imposed.

3 If this case was dealt with now under Para 2 of Chief Commissioner's order No. 9125/238 of the 25th ultimo it would have ¼ Quit Rent imposed. But there is no doubt that Colonel Puckle imposed ½ Quit Rent under Colonel Clerk's ruling and the precedent established by him. There do not appear to be any special grounds attaching

to

tank I concur with the reasons given by the Special Assistant Mr Narsimhayengar in his report alluded to above for considering the resumption of this Kodugee Enam of doubtful propriety.

6 The papers received with your reference under reply are all returned and a copy of the register obtained from the Enam Superintendent, the original being not very clearly drawn out.

Original Superintendent returned to Enam Supt with Enam Comr's No 385 of the 3d March 76

Your obedient Servant

W Anderson

Survey & Enam Commissioner of Mysore

Letter No 465 dated 29th March 1876 from the Survey and Inam Commr of Mysore

---

Order thereon 1946/N.5 dt 2/6/76.

Under the views stated in paras 2 and 3 of the Inam Commrs letter above quoted, the Offg Chief Commr declines to reopen the question of the settlement of the village of Badagamakeri made during the Inam investigation.

2. For the reason given in para 4 of the above letter, and in consideration of the fact that the Kodige tank required to irrigate only the land of the Inam village, the Offg Chief Commissioner directs that the attachment of the Kodige Inam &c

cancelled, and the
amount of Quitrent
imposed on the village
be reduced by the
assessment on the
Kodiji inam, fixing
the present demand
on the village at Rs 217
exceeding fractions.

Rs 215
Offg Secretary.

Ukr.
22/5/26.

To
The Survey & Inam Commr
" Supdt of Inam Settlt

I enclose the assessment &
Patta from the Karnam to be inserted

Bdi.
23/5/26

13

C.1362
34

E-76.

8
1876.

From

The Superintendent of the Inam Settlement.

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner, General Department.

Dated 8th June

Despatched 9th June

Received 10th June

ENCLOSURES NO. —

General No. 29 } 1876-77
No.

With reference to the Chief Commrs Proceedings No. 1946/43-87 I am instant regarding the village of Baidagamakanahalli, states that some error has arisen in reducing the demand to Rs 217 and that the correct amount is Rs 241.

To Dy Sr.
9-6-76

1st 779.

Read 12/6

Memo dated 14/6/76 Drafted D.T.N.

2. I would presume that the error arose from deducting the assessment on the Kodiji Inam, which has now been restored; but this amount had been added to the Rs: 241 and the total demand raised to Rs: 266.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obt Servt

Supt of Inam Settlt.

8

Inam Settlement Office.

No. 29. Bangalore 8th June 1876.

To,

The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 1946/55 of the 2d instant, I have the honor to point out that some error has arisen in directing the demand on the village to be reduced to Rs: 217, as the correct amount would be Rs: 241, as follows,

Assessment	393 — — 4
Deduct Jodi	89 — 2 — 0
½ quit-rent	303 — 14 — 4
	151 — 15 — 2
Add Jodi	89 — 2 — 0
	241 — 1 — 2 or

Rs: 241. —

J

W TP! ✓

To the Inam Commr.
Supdt. of Inam
Draft for a Letter to be addressed

by 8th July

One page.

No 3495/116.

Issuing instructions regarding the fasli of the village of Baragamanahalli Kolar Dt

10

Letter No 29 of 8th June 1946
from the Superintendent
of the Inam Settlement.
pointing out an Error in the
calculation of the Quitrent
directed in Chief Commr
Proceedings No 1946/R-5 dt 2nd June 46
to be imposed on the village
of Badasamakanahalli
in the Kolar Taluk.

Order thereon:

The error pointed
out in the above letter
was caused through over-
sight in this Office, but
it need not now be corrected
as the Chief Commr
has thought fit to recon-
sider the Order No 1946/R-5
dated 2nd June 1946.

2. From a perusal of
the Samat proceedings
the enhancement and the

Inam Register of the Village
in question, it appears
that the village of Badagamakka-
halli was granted to
Subbamathah for her personal
benefit and on the condition
of her performing certain
services. It does not appear
how long the service was
performed by the Petitioner's
family, ~~and when it ceased~~
and when it actually
ceased. The Petitioner
states that no service
was ever performed by
her family at any time
and this statement is
borne out by the Dy
Commissioner of Kolar,
who remarks that the
Jodidars have ceased to
perform service since
the days of Tippu Sultan.

Vide Enclosure to the
Inam Subdt Letter No 66
dated 16th Sept 1875

14

Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, Department of Revenue, Agriculture and Commerce, 8th July 1876.

READ—

Letter No. 29, dated 8th June 1876, from the Superintendent of the Inam Settlements.

No. 3495—REV. 116, DATED BANGALORE, 8TH JULY 1876.

ORDER THEREON.—The error pointed out in the above letter was caused through oversight in this Office, but it need not now be corrected as the Chief Commissioner has thought fit to reconsider the Order No. 1946—Rev. 5, dated 2nd June 1876.

2. From a perusal of the sannad and the inam register of the village in question, it appears that the village of Badagamakanhalli was granted to Gopinathaiya for his personal benefit and on the condition of his performing certain services. It does not appear how long the service was performed by the petitioner's family, and when it actually ceased. The petitioner states that no service was ever performed by his family at any time, and this statement is borne out by the Deputy Commissioner of Kolar, who remarks that the jodidars have ceased to perform service since the days of Tippu Sultan. The Chief Commissioner considers that the case is one which falls under clause (2) of para 2 of this Office Proceedings No. 9125—238, dated 25th February 1876, and that under the terms of that order, the jodi village should be confirmed to the jodidar on ¼ quit-rent.

Vide Enclosure to the Inam Superintendent's letter No. 66, dated 16th September 1875.

T. CLARKE,
Offg. Secretary.

To—The Inam Commissioner. 6 copies
„ Inam Superintendent. 6 „

1876.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

REVENUE.

To

THE INAM COMMISSIONER.
„ SUPT. OF INAM SETTLEMENTS.

Dated July 8th.
Despatched
Received

No. 3495.
Rev. 116.

Issuing instructions regarding the jodi of the village of Badagamakanhalli, Kolar District.